Walton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Workshop 05/10/16

- 1. Initial introductions (Brodie):
 - a. New Walton NP is only 12mnths old 5 x consultation events to establish key issues. Important to realise scale of NP relative to size of Walton settlement (98 homes)
 - b. Proposing to allocate sites for housing (maintain awareness of status of TATE)
 - c. Traffic issues (public transport & resultant traffic from TATE)
 - d. Want to bring forward main issues from 2012-13 plan
 - e. Recent engagement:
 - i. Important issues
 - ii. Questionnaire
 - iii. Site allocations 3 options favoured
 - f. Intentions statement: questionnaire
 - g. Aims of today: establish what needs to be done on the policies, generate an action list

h. Abbie - review consultation surveys & resultant policy options

- i. Need to establish needs (HNA)
- j. Vision potential for alternative wording of vision
 - i. What is it that makes Walton unique?
 - ii. Allocation of land in relation to local housing needs
 - iii. Objectives need to include aim to allocate
- 2. Policy A1 Protecting views Mindful of Walton being CA
 - a. Should be considerations rather than requirements
 - b. Planning would have to consider heritage assets (Conservation Area Appraisal)
 - i. Dependent on examiners and how they view importance of existing policy
 - 1. Assess whether Conservation Area Appraisal has delivered and then identify key views so that they should be "considerations" in terms of policy.
 - c. It **is** within scope of NP to have a policy concerning views
 - d. Action: NP to review CAA and decide how NP wants to go beyond CAA
- 3. NP to designate Local Green Space (need to consider the restrictions on this found in NPPF)
 - a. Positive engagement with landowners
 - b. Must be local significant/special
 - c. Potentially already used by community and want to protect it
 - d. Action: NP to identify existing Green Spaces (LCC can only allocate above 0.2ha) potential changes through the SAP
- 4. Policy A2 Need to refine the wording (aspirational) "seek to"
 - a. Development would have to be directly relevant to the pathways
 - i. Potential to improve connectivity *between* parishes as well as within Walton parish
 - b. Action: NP to re-word policy to be more robust (not Nuclear Power Station)
- 5. Community facilities (B)
 - a. B1 Protect amenities not necessarily a policy, more of a *project*.
 - i. Action: Assets of community value register with LCC

- b. B2 similar in wording to A2 combine
- 6. Transport (C)
 - a. Public transport issues (connectivity to surrounding towns) could be an aspirational policy
 - b. Through traffic (TATE), HGVs
 - i. Need to be aware of scope of *planning* policy
 - ii. Project
 - iii. Action: meeting with highways to discuss possible options, need to be deliverable.
 - c. C1 refer to Clifford examiners report (p. 15) re: parking
- 7. Business/Employment (E)
 - a. Issues over TATE advised to avoid the issue as planning decision for TATE will be before Walton Plan is 'made'
 - b. Aim to focus on sustainability of village
 - c. Action: develop policy options in terms of business
 - i. SAP SWOT analysis
- 8. Housing:
 - a. 3 sites to be taken forward in terms of allocation for housing
 - i. NP to include key guiding principles
 - ii. Indicative drawings (Jenny)
 - iii. Need to do in-depth site analysis of all 3 sites
 - iv. Mindful of prospects of rural land being allocated as Green Belt under SAP
 - b. Coal site brownfield ability to use footprint of current buildings
 - i. Potentially ideal site to address downsizing issues
 - c. Action: Ian and Jenny to discuss costings/timings for doing drawings, site analysis, indicative plans for all 3 sites etc. and to respond to NP group.

Next steps:

- Green belt & rural land issue to be addressed
- Review engagement/consultation process
- Options appraisal process how would it look to examiner
- Policy options document
- Site allocations drawings, plans etc. (Proposal)
- Further thoughts on vision statement
- Transport issues meeting
- Project plan